

Ricardo
Energy & Environment



European
Commission

Industrial Emissions Directive - Targeted stakeholder survey

Introduction

The Industrial Emissions Directive 2010/75/EU (IED) is the primary instrument in place at the EU level to control and mitigate the environmental and human health impacts arising from industrial emissions in the EU. The IED regulates around 50 000 of the largest industrial installations covering a wide range of agro-industrial sectors (as defined by Annex I of the IED). These include: power plants, refineries, and production of steel, non-ferrous metals, cement, lime, glass, chemicals, pulp and paper, food and drink as well as waste treatment and incineration and the intensive rearing of pigs and poultry. The general objective of the IED is to prevent, reduce and eliminate as far as possible emissions into air, water and land arising from industrial activities.


The IED aims to ensure that industrial emissions are dealt with in an integrated way and minimised. Permits must be issued for these installations by national authorities with conditions based on the use of Best Available Techniques (BAT). To ensure a comparable EU approach, sectoral BAT Reference Documents (BREFs) are produced through an EU level techno-economic assessment carried out by a Technical Working Group of the Commission, Member States, industry and civil society. The BAT Conclusions are adopted as Commission implementing decisions.

The IED is now undergoing an evaluation against the following five key evaluation criteria: effectiveness, efficiency, relevance, coherence and EU added-value. The evaluation is supported by a series of stakeholder consultation activities, including an Open Public Consultation (OPC) and this more detailed and targeted online survey aimed at stakeholders actively involved in the implementation of the IED. Your responses provided to this questionnaire will be analysed and will feed into the overall IED evaluation process.

The roadmap of the evaluation process can be [found here](#).

Ricardo Energy & Environment is supporting the European Commission with the evaluation, including stakeholder engagement activities.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact us at IED.Evaluation@ricardo.com



Ricardo
Energy & Environment



European
Commission

Industrial Emissions Directive - Targeted stakeholder survey

This survey

This survey is intended to gather feedback for the IED evaluation from stakeholders who are involved in the implementation of the IED. The survey includes questions grouped in to 10 key themes relevant to the IED that will be considered as part of the evaluation. The questions are tailored depending on whether you are representing a Member State authority (at any level of administration and IED implementation), industry (individual company or trade body) or other type of organisation (e.g. NGO, research body). Therefore, it is important that you indicate below which type of organisation you are representing.

The survey is deliberately detailed to cover all of the main aspects of the IED and evaluation. However, it is recognised that for some stakeholders, certain questions will not be relevant, or you will not be in a position to respond to them. In these instances, you can simply select “Do not know” or “Not applicable”.

Whilst the questions refer to the IED, it is recognised that the Directive has been transposed into national legislation so many of you will be more familiar with the details of these. Where relevant, please respond based on your experience and understanding of implementing the legislation that has transposed the IED into national law.

Finally, a number of questions refer to how things have changed with the implementation of the IED relative to the situation under the Integrated Pollution Prevention and Control (IPPC) Directive and other sectoral Directives. This is typically referring to the situation around 10 years ago before the IED was adopted.

The deadline for responses to this survey is Friday, 6 September 2019, although we would appreciate responses as soon as possible so that we can start building in your feedback. You can only take the survey once (one response per computer). You can save the progress you have made filling out your survey and complete it at a later time - once you open the link to the survey on the same computer (and in the same browser) you will be directed to the same page of the questionnaire where you left, with your previous responses saved.



Ricardo
Energy & Environment



European
Commission

Industrial Emissions Directive - Targeted stakeholder survey

About you

Please provide the following details about yourself.

Your personal data provided for the survey (name, organisation name, email address and country of residence) will not be published. Information on the type of stakeholder group you are representing will be used for statistical analysis.

The provision of personal data is not mandatory. However, if you do not provide your personal data, we will not be able to contact you with additional information to follow up your response to the survey.

Further information on how we process your personal data is available [here](#).

Name


Name of organisation or institution

E-mail address

Country of residence

* Stakeholder type

- Member State authority
- Industry
- Other (please specify)



Ricardo
Energy & Environment



European
Commission


Industrial Emissions Directive - Targeted stakeholder survey

Follow up

* After completing this questionnaire, are you happy to be contacted for any clarifications, a follow-up interview and/or further updates on the evaluation?

Yes

No



European Commission

Industrial Emissions Directive - Targeted stakeholder survey

1. General

* 1.1 To what extent do you think that the IED has contributed to reducing and (as far as possible) eliminating pollution arising from industrial activities:

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Do not know

* 1.2 To what extent do you think that the IED has contributed to reducing the following for agro-industrial activities:

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Do not know
Consumption of natural resources	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Energy use	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Waste generation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>


* 1.3 In your opinion, is the IED the appropriate instrument for controlling the following for agro-industrial activities:

	Yes	Maybe	No	Do not know
Consumption of natural resources	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Energy use	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Waste generation	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

* 1.4 To what extent do you think that the IED addresses the following

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Do not know
The most relevant environmental impacts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The most relevant pollutants	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The most polluting agro-industrial sectors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

1.5 Please provide further details to justify your answers including any supporting evidence.



Ricardo
Energy & Environment



European
Commission

Industrial Emissions Directive - Targeted stakeholder survey

2. Scope - sectors, pollutants, thresholds, environmental media

* 2.1 Are there any agro-industrial activities that fall outside of the scope of the IED (partially due to the thresholds in Annex I of the IED or fully) which generate high levels of pollution?

- Yes
- No
- Do not know

If yes, please indicate which ones and provide any supporting evidence.

* 2.2 Are there any pollutants that have been omitted or fall outside of the scope of the IED which, in your opinion, should be captured?


- Yes
- No
- Do not know

If yes, please indicate which ones and provide any supporting evidence.

* 2.3 To what extent do you think that the IED contributes to the reduction of hazardous substances (persistent organic pollutants, heavy metals)?

- Strongly agree
- Somewhat agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Do not know

Please provide further details to justify your answer including any supporting evidence.



European Commission

Industrial Emissions Directive - Targeted stakeholder survey

3. Cross-Cutting Themes

* 3.1 In relation to the industry/sector you represent, and compared to the previous situation under the IPPC Directive and the sectoral Directives, what impact do you think the IED has had on the following:

	Significant improvement	Some improvement	No impact	Some reduction	Significant reduction	Do not know
Environmental Sustainability	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Social Sustainability	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Economic Sustainability	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

If relevant, please provide further information and supporting evidence for your response.

* 3.2 In relation to the industry/sector you represent, what impact do you think the IED has had on the competitiveness of the EU industry?

- Significant benefit
- Some benefit
- None
- Some disadvantage
- Significant disadvantage
- Do not know

If relevant, please provide further information and evidence supporting your response.

* 3.3 To what extent do you think that the regulation of environmental impacts through the IED and development of BREFs and BAT Conclusions has stimulated innovation in the prevention and control of pollution from industrial activities?

- Strongly agree
- Somewhat agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Do not know

* 3.4 Which elements of the IED and BREF process have the greatest impact on driving innovation in the prevention and control of pollution from industrial activities?


	Significant driver	Some positive impact	Neither positive nor negative	Some negative impact	Significant barrier	Do not know
Requirements for installations to hold a permits	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Monitoring and reporting requirements	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Enforcement	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
BAT Conclusions	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Emerging techniques chapter of the BREF	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

3.5 Please provide further details to justify your answers and any supporting evidence.

* 3.6 Does the IED remain relevant in view of the need for industry to rapidly adapt to a zero-carbon economy by 2050?

- Yes
- Partially
- No
- Do not know

If not, what prevents it from being fit for purpose? Please elaborate to justify your answer and provide details and any supporting evidence.



Ricardo
Energy & Environment



European
Commission

Industrial Emissions Directive - Targeted stakeholder survey

4. Procedure for the drawing up and reviewing of BAT reference documents (BREFs) - the BREF process

* 4.1 To what extent do you think that the process to draw up and regularly review best available technique (BAT) reference documents (BREFs) addresses the following?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Do not know
The most relevant environmental impacts	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The most relevant pollutants	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The most polluting agro-industrial sectors	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

* 4.2 To what extent do you think that the BREF process identifies the best available techniques that are the most effective techniques for achieving a high level of environmental protection?

- Strongly agree
- Somewhat agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Somewhat disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Do not know

* 4.3 Is the definition of Best Available Techniques (BAT) as set out in the IED appropriate for identifying the techniques that are the most effective for achieving a high level of environmental protection?

- Yes
- Partially
- No
- Do not know

* 4.4 To what extent do you think that the BREF process identifies the most appropriate associated emission or performance levels for achieving the following?

	Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree	Do not know
A high level of environmental protection	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Protecting human health	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

4.5 Please provide further details to support your answers to the four questions above and any supporting evidence.

* 4.6 Is the composition of the Technical Working Group (TWG) established for each BREF appropriate for the following:

	Yes	Neutral	No	Do not know
For identifying key environmental issues for a sector	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
For identifying BAT	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
For developing effective BAT Conclusions	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
To help stimulate innovation	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

If neutral or no, please provide further evidence to support your answer e.g. how could the composition change to better stimulate innovation?

* 4.7 How do you think that the following elements of the BREF process have changed under the IED (compared to under the IPPC Directive) and are in line with the guidance set out in Commission Implementing Decision 2012/119/EU?

	Significant improvement	Some improvement	No change	Some deterioration	Significant deterioration	Do not know
Identification of key environmental issues	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Information exchange	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Identification of BAT	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Development of BAT conclusions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* 4.8 How appropriate is the duration of the process for developing and reviewing BREFs - which is set out in guidance as Commission Implementing Decision 2012/119/EU - for the production of effective BAT conclusions?

- Too short
- About the right length
- Too long
- Do not know
- Not applicable

4.9 Please provide further details to support your answers to the two questions above and any supporting evidence.

* 4.10 Does the BREF process sufficiently consider the compliance and operational costs associated with techniques when identifying the best available techniques?

- All of the time
- Most of the time
- Some of the time
- Rarely
- Never
- Do not know

* 4.11 Does the BREF process sufficiently consider the benefits (e.g. emission reductions, improvements in resource use, reduced waste generation) associated with techniques when identifying the best available techniques?

- All of the time
- Most of the time
- Some of the time
- Rarely
- Never
- Do not know

* 4.12 Does the BREF process sufficiently consider cross-media impacts (i.e. impacts on other environmental issues) in identifying the best available techniques?


- All of the time
- Most of the time
- Some of the time
- Rarely
- Never
- Do not know

4.13 Please provide further details to support your answers to the three questions above and any supporting evidence.

* 4.14 To what extent do you think that the BREF process has been flexible and fast enough to respond to new or emerging environmental issues?

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Do not know
- Not applicable

Please provide further information to support your answer, where relevant, with specific examples e.g. where emerging pollutants and/or environmental impacts have been identified during the BREF process but could not be captured in the BAT Conclusions.



Ricardo
Energy & Environment



European
Commission

Industrial Emissions Directive - Targeted stakeholder survey

5. Permitting including derogations

* 5.1 Has the IED and BAT Conclusions enabled Member States to implement BAT-based permitting?

- Yes
- Neutral
- No
- Do not know

* 5.2 How has the situation changed relative to that under the IPPC and sectoral Directives?

- Significant increase in BAT-based permitting
- Some increase in BAT-based permitting
- No change
- Some reduction in BAT-based permitting
- Significant reduction in BAT-based permitting
- Do not know

* 5.3 Based on your experience, are emission limit values (ELVs) in permits typically based on upper or lower BAT-AELs (emission levels associated with BAT) or levels in between?

- Upper BAT-AELs all of the time
- Upper BAT-AELs most (more than 75%) of the time
- Both upper and lower BAT-AELs
- Lower BAT-AELs most of the time (more than 75%)
- Lower BAT-AELs all of the time
- Other levels (please describe below)
- Do not know

5.4 Where relevant, please provide further information and evidence to support your answers, to the three questions above.

5.5 The achievement of emission levels associated with the best available techniques as described in BAT conclusions could potentially lead to disproportionately higher costs compared to the environmental benefits. Article 15(4) of the IED allows for the setting of less strict emission limit values as a derogation from Article IED 15(3).

If relevant, please provide details as to how and why this Article has been used/useful for your installation(s) or sector and whether it provides for the flexibility required in the permitting process.

* 5.6 Has the flexibility afforded by Article 15(5) been utilised for installations in your sector to allow competent authorities to grant temporary derogations from BAT-based permitting requirements to allow for the testing and use of emerging techniques?

- Yes
- No
- Do not know

Please provide further explanation as to why this Article has been used/useful and whether it provides for the flexibility required in the permitting process.



Ricardo
Energy & Environment



European
Commission

Industrial Emissions Directive - Targeted stakeholder survey

6. Monitoring, reporting and enforcement

* 6.1 To what extent do you think that the BAT conclusions are explicit and clear on monitoring requirements e.g. averaging periods:

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Do not know

If neither agree nor disagree or disagree, please provide further details.

* 6.2 To what extent do you think that industry reporting activities have been simplified under the IED compared to the situation under the IPPC and sectoral Directives?


- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Do not know

Please provide further details, if relevant.

* 6.3 To what extent do you think that the provisions of the IED on the following have led to more effective control of the environmental impacts of large industrial installations relative to the situation under the IPPC and sectoral Directives (i.e. prior to the adoption of the IED)?

	Strongly agree	Agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Disagree	Strongly disagree	Do not know
BAT conclusions	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Permits	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Enforcement	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Access to information	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Access to justice	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Please provide further details to justify your answer and any supporting evidence.



Ricardo
Energy & Environment



European
Commission

Industrial Emissions Directive - Targeted stakeholder survey

7. Costs and benefits

* 7.1 By bringing the [permitting, monitoring, reporting] requirements of previously separate Directives together into one instrument, to what extent do you think that the IED has achieved the following relative to the previous regime:

	Strongly agree	Somewhat agree	Neither agree nor disagree	Somewhat disagree	Strongly disagree	Do not know
Clarification of the provisions	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Simplification of the provisions	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* 7.2 Has the implementation of the IED led to a reduction in unnecessary administrative burden for industrial installation operators?

- Yes
- Neutral
- No
- Do not know

7.3 Please provide further information and evidence to support your answers, where relevant, including any estimates of administrative burden for your installation or sector.

* 7.4 Are there significant differences in IED and BATC implementation between Member States for your sector?

- Yes
- No
- Do not know

7.5 For any identified differences in IED implementation between Member States for your sector, please provide further information on the root causes for these differences and any quantified information on how they affect the costs borne by your sector's installation operators or impacts on environmental pollution.

* 7.6 To what extent do you think that the IED has contributed to achieving a level playing field in the EU for your sector(s) by aligning environmental performance requirements for industrial installations (compared to the previous legislative regime of the IPPC Directive and sectoral Directives).

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Do not know

If relevant, please provide further details and evidence to support your answer.

7.7 Please provide evidence of the compliance, administrative, monitoring and reporting costs, and of the benefits, for your installation and/or sector that are associated with implementing the IED's requirements which go beyond those that would have occurred under the previous legislative regime of the IPPC Directive and the sectoral Directives. Please provide quantitative evidence where possible, separating out different cost or benefit contributions. Please exclude time spent on the BREF process (covered in separate question). Benefits may include monetary and non-monetary benefits e.g. changes in emissions, resource use or waste generation. Please specify the geographic level to which your response refers (i.e. regional, national or EU).

* 7.8 To what extent do you think that the benefits that the IED has achieved have been achieved in a cost-effective manner.

- Strongly agree
- Agree
- Neither agree nor disagree
- Disagree
- Strongly disagree
- Do not know
- Not applicable

Please provide further information and supporting evidence.

* 7.9 Could the IED have been implemented more efficiently whilst still delivering its overall objectives and minimising unnecessary costs?

- Yes
- No
- Do not know

If yes, please provide further details and supporting evidence.

7.10 For your sector, please confirm which BREF(s) development/ reviews(s) if any, you are involved in. For these, please estimate the staff time (i.e. number of person-days in Full Time Equivalent) spent by your company or association (please specify) including external support by a third-party, on contributing to the information exchange for each BREF.

Common Waste Water
and Waste Gas
Treatment/ Management
Systems in the Chemical
Sector (CWW)

Common Waste Gas
Treatment in the Chemical
Sector (WGC)

Ferrous Metals Processing
Industry (FMP)

Food, Drink and Milk
Industries (FDM)

Intensive Rearing of
Poultry or Pigs (IRPP)

Iron and Steel Production
(IS)

Large Combustion Plants
(LCP)

Manufacture of Glass
(GLS)

Non-ferrous Metals
Industries (NFM)

Production of Cement,
Lime and Magnesium
Oxide (CLM)

Production of Chlor-alkali
(CAK)

Production of Large
Volume Organic
Chemicals (LVOC)

Production of Pulp, Paper
and Board (PP)

Refining of Mineral Oil and
Gas (REF)

Surface Treatment Using
Organic Solvent (including
Wood and Wood Products
Preservation with
Chemicals) (STS)

Slaughterhouses and
Animals By-products
Industries (SA)

Smitheries and Foundries
Industry (SF)


Tanning of Hides and
Skins (TAN)

Textiles Industry (TXT)

Waste Incineration (WI)

Waste Treatment (WT)

Wood-based Panels
Production (WPP)



Ricardo Energy & Environment



European Commission

Industrial Emissions Directive - Targeted stakeholder survey

8. Public access to information and justice

* 8.1 To what extent has the IED improved public access to information on the following relative to the situation under the IPPC Directive:

	Significant improvement	Some improvement	No change	Some decline	Significant decline	Do not know
Granting of a permit	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Updating of a permit	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Details of permits granted and conditions contained therein as set out in Article 24 of the IED	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Environmental performance of installations	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Derogations	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* 8.2 Are permit(s) for your installation / sector easily accessible and available via the Internet?

- Yes
- No
- Do not know

8.3 Please provide any further information and supporting evidence.



Ricardo
Energy & Environment



European
Commission

Industrial Emissions Directive - Targeted stakeholder survey

9. Coherence

* 9.1 To what extent is the IED internally consistent and coherent among its chapters and provisions?

- Extremely consistent
- Very consistent
- Moderately consistent
- Slightly consistent
- Not consistent
- Do not know

9.2 If relevant, please provide details of any cases of overlaps, contradictions or other inconsistencies between provisions / requirements of the IED.

* 9.3 Are there any inconsistencies, contradictions, unnecessary duplication, overlap or missing links between provisions and activities listed in IED Annex I?

- Yes
- No
- Do not know

If yes, please provide further details.

* 9.4 Are the boundaries of the activities in Annex I clear and appropriate?

- Yes
- No
- Do not know

If no, please provide further details.

* 9.5 To what extent does the IED contribute to the achievement of the objectives of the following EU environmental policy areas:

	Significant positive contribution	Some positive contribution	No contribution	Some negative contribution	Significant negative contribution	Do not know
Air quality	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Water quality	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Circular economy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Waste management	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sustainable use of resources	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Chemical risks and hazards	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Energy	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Climate change	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* 9.6 Are there any cases of overlaps, contradictions or other inconsistencies between objectives and provisions / requirements of the IED and the following EU environmental policy areas:


	Yes	No	Do not know
Air quality	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Water quality	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Circular economy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Waste management	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Sustainable use of resources	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Chemical risks and hazards	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Energy	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Climate change	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

If relevant, please provide details of any possible overlaps, contradictions and/or inconsistencies as well as any changes that might be needed to better contribute to these objectives.

* 9.7 Are there any cases of overlaps, contradictions or other inconsistencies between objectives and provisions / requirements of the IED and Regulation (EC) 166/2006 establishing the European Pollutant Release and Transfer Register (E-PRTR)?

- Yes
- No
- Do not know

If relevant, please provide details of any possible overlaps, contradictions and/or inconsistencies as well as any changes that might be needed to better contribute to these objectives.



Ricardo Energy & Environment



European Commission

Industrial Emissions Directive - Targeted stakeholder survey

10. EU added value

* 10.1 To what extent do you think that legislation to regulate environmental impacts of large industrial installations at the EU level, as opposed to action solely at the national level, helps the following?

	Significant benefit	Some benefit	Neutral	Some disadvantage	Significant disadvantage	Do not know
To better protect human health and the environment	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
To ensure that national competent authorities address industrial pollution	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
To avoid competition on environmental standards	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

* 10.2 Do the issues tackled by the IED (for example, preventing, reducing and eliminating as far as possible, pollution arising from industrial activities) continue to require action at the EU level?

- Yes
- No
- Do not know

If relevant please provide further details and evidence to support your answers.



Ricardo
Energy & Environment



European
Commission

Industrial Emissions Directive - Targeted stakeholder survey

Survey Close

Do you have any further feedback and/or wish to upload any supporting evidence?

Choose File

No file chosen

Please enter any further feedback here

If you have any additional information that you would like to provide to the Evaluation Team that has not been possible to provide in response to this survey, then please send it to IED.Evaluation@ricardo.com.

Furthermore, if you have selected that you are happy for Ricardo to follow up with you directly for any clarifications and/or additional information and to be considered for a potential interview then please ensure that you have provided your contact details in the introductory section of the survey.

Many thanks for your support!