

Consultation on the revision of the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive 2010/31/EU

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

As announced in the [European Green Deal](#), the Commission adopted on 14 October 2020 a strategic Communication "[Renovation Wave for Europe - greening our buildings, creating jobs, improving lives](#)". It contains an action plan with specific regulatory, financing and enabling measures for the years to come and pursues the aim to at least double the annual energy renovation rate of buildings by 2030 and to foster deep renovations. It is expected that mobilising forces at all levels towards these goals will result in 35 million building units renovated by 2030.

The [Renovation Wave](#) confirms that the existing legislative measures on buildings will neither suffice to achieve the increased EU 2030 climate target of at least 55% emission reduction target and the planned increase in the ambition for energy efficiency, nor the 2050 climate neutrality objective. Therefore, the Renovation Wave communication announces a revision of the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive 2010/31/EU (EPBD) together with a number of areas of legislative and non-legislative reinforcement in relation to building renovation and decarbonisation of buildings. The EPBD is the cornerstone of European legislation in the area of energy performance of buildings. It aims at accelerating the transformation of the EU building stock into a highly energy efficient and decarbonised building stock by 2050.

The Renovation Wave already indicated some specific aspects which will be addressed in the revision of the EPBD, namely: the phased introduction of mandatory minimum energy performance standards for all types of buildings (public and private), an update of the framework for Energy Performance Certificates, the introduction of Building Renovation Passports and the introduction of a 'deep renovation' standard in the context of financing and building decarbonisation objectives. The requirements for new buildings and measures fostering sustainable mobility are also considered to be updated in line with the enhanced climate ambition of the European Green Deal and the Climate Target Plan 2030. This includes addressing resource efficiency and circularity principles in order to reduce whole lifecycle emissions, digitalisation in design, construction and operation of buildings, climate resilience and health and environmental requirements, as well as accessibility for persons with disabilities, and energy poverty, requires consideration. More information is provided in the [Inception Impact Assessment](#).

This questionnaire is part of a larger stakeholder consultation which will feed into the Commission's work on the revision of the EPBD. It builds upon the results from the very extensive and in-depth public consultation for the Renovation Wave that took place between January and September 2020, whose results have been assessed in a [dedicated report](#).

About you

* Language of my contribution

- Bulgarian
- Croatian
- Czech
- Danish
- Dutch
- English
- Estonian
- Finnish
- French
- German
- Greek
- Hungarian
- Irish
- Italian
- Latvian
- Lithuanian
- Maltese
- Polish
- Portuguese
- Romanian
- Slovak
- Slovenian
- Spanish
- Swedish

* I am giving my contribution as

- Academic/research institution
- Business association
- Company/business organisation
- Consumer organisation
- EU citizen
- Environmental organisation

- Non-EU citizen
- Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- Public authority
- Trade union
- Other

* First name

Magdalena

* Surname

Herbik

* Email (this won't be published)

mh@bibm.eu

* Organisation name

255 character(s) maximum

BIBM - Federation of the European Precast Concrete Industry

* Organisation size

- Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- Small (10 to 49 employees)
- Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- Large (250 or more)

Transparency register number

255 character(s) maximum

Check if your organisation is on the [transparency register](#). It's a voluntary database for organisations seeking to influence EU decision-making.

07055806769-32

* Country of origin

Please add your country of origin, or that of your organisation.

- Afghanistan
- Djibouti
- Libya
- Saint Martin
- Åland Islands
- Dominica
- Liechtenstein
- Saint Pierre and Miquelon

- Albania
- Algeria
- American Samoa
- Andorra
- Angola
- Anguilla
- Antarctica
- Antigua and Barbuda
- Argentina
- Armenia
- Aruba
- Australia
- Austria
- Azerbaijan
- Bahamas
- Bahrain
- Bangladesh
- Barbados
- Belarus
- Belgium
- Belize
- Benin
- Bermuda
- Dominican Republic
- Ecuador
- Egypt
- El Salvador
- Equatorial Guinea
- Eritrea
- Estonia
- Eswatini
- Ethiopia
- Falkland Islands
- Faroe Islands
- Fiji
- Finland
- France
- French Guiana
- French Polynesia
- French Southern and Antarctic Lands
- Gabon
- Georgia
- Germany
- Ghana
- Gibraltar
- Greece
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Macau
- Madagascar
- Malawi
- Malaysia
- Maldives
- Mali
- Malta
- Marshall Islands
- Martinique
- Mauritania
- Mauritius
- Mayotte
- Mexico
- Micronesia
- Moldova
- Monaco
- Mongolia
- Montenegro
- Montserrat
- Morocco
- Mozambique
- Saint Vincent and the Grenadines
- Samoa
- San Marino
- São Tomé and Príncipe
- Saudi Arabia
- Senegal
- Serbia
- Seychelles
- Sierra Leone
- Singapore
- Sint Maarten
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Solomon Islands
- Somalia
- South Africa
- South Georgia and the South Sandwich Islands
- South Korea
- South Sudan
- Spain
- Sri Lanka
- Sudan
- Suriname

- Bhutan
- Bolivia
- Bonaire Saint Eustatius and Saba
- Bosnia and Herzegovina
- Botswana
- Bouvet Island
- Brazil
- British Indian Ocean Territory
- British Virgin Islands
- Brunei
- Bulgaria
- Burkina Faso
- Burundi
- Cambodia
- Cameroon
- Canada
- Cape Verde
- Cayman Islands
- Central African Republic
- Chad
- Chile
- Greenland
- Grenada
- Guadeloupe
- Guam
- Guatemala
- Guernsey
- Guinea
- Guinea-Bissau
- Guyana
- Haiti
- Heard Island and McDonald Islands
- Honduras
- Hong Kong
- Hungary
- Iceland
- India
- Indonesia
- Iran
- Iraq
- Ireland
- Isle of Man
- Myanmar /Burma
- Namibia
- Nauru
- Nepal
- Netherlands
- New Caledonia
- New Zealand
- Nicaragua
- Niger
- Nigeria
- Niue
- Norfolk Island
- Northern Mariana Islands
- North Korea
- North Macedonia
- Norway
- Oman
- Pakistan
- Palau
- Palestine
- Panama
- Svalbard and Jan Mayen
- Sweden
- Switzerland
- Syria
- Taiwan
- Tajikistan
- Tanzania
- Thailand
- The Gambia
- Timor-Leste
- Togo
- Tokelau
- Tonga
- Trinidad and Tobago
- Tunisia
- Turkey
- Turkmenistan
- Turks and Caicos Islands
- Tuvalu
- Uganda
- Ukraine

- China
- Christmas Island
- Clipperton
- Cocos (Keeling) Islands
- Colombia
- Comoros
- Congo
- Cook Islands
- Costa Rica
- Côte d'Ivoire
- Croatia
- Cuba
- Curaçao
- Cyprus
- Czechia
- Democratic Republic of the Congo
- Denmark
- Israel
- Italy
- Jamaica
- Japan
- Jersey
- Jordan
- Kazakhstan
- Kenya
- Kiribati
- Kosovo
- Kuwait
- Kyrgyzstan
- Laos
- Latvia
- Lebanon
- Lesotho
- Liberia
- Papua New Guinea
- Paraguay
- Peru
- Philippines
- Pitcairn Islands
- Poland
- Portugal
- Puerto Rico
- Qatar
- Réunion
- Romania
- Russia
- Rwanda
- Saint Barthélemy
- Saint Helena Ascension and Tristan da Cunha
- Saint Kitts and Nevis
- Saint Lucia
- United Arab Emirates
- United Kingdom
- United States
- United States Minor Outlying Islands
- Uruguay
- US Virgin Islands
- Uzbekistan
- Vanuatu
- Vatican City
- Venezuela
- Vietnam
- Wallis and Futuna
- Western Sahara
- Yemen
- Zambia
- Zimbabwe

The Commission will publish all contributions to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would prefer to have your details published or to remain anonymous when your contribution is published. **For the purpose of transparency, the type of respondent (for example, 'business association, 'consumer association', 'EU citizen') country of origin, organisation name and size, and its transparency register number, are always published. Your e-mail address will never be published.** Opt in to select the privacy option that best suits you. Privacy options default based on the type of respondent selected

* Contribution publication privacy settings

The Commission will publish the responses to this public consultation. You can choose whether you would like your details to be made public or to remain anonymous.

Anonymous

Only organisation details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published as received. Your name will not be published. Please do not include any personal data in the contribution itself if you want to remain anonymous.

Public

Organisation details and respondent details are published: The type of respondent that you responded to this consultation as, the name of the organisation on whose behalf you reply as well as its transparency number, its size, its country of origin and your contribution will be published. Your name will also be published.

I agree with the [personal data protection provisions](#)

Part A. Planning and policy instruments

Decarbonisation of buildings

Question 1. The [long-term decarbonisation strategy](#) has introduced the concept of zero emission buildings by 2050, in view of achieving carbon neutrality in the long term. Do you agree that such a novel concept should be defined in the EPBD?

- Yes
- No, it is not needed in the EPBD
- No opinion

Question 2. Long-Term Renovation Strategies (LTRS) set the vision, roadmap, concrete policy measures and actions, and dedicated financing mechanisms to decarbonise national building stocks by 2050. The [first 13 LTRS](#) submitted have been assessed by the Commission. Under the existing legal framework the LTRS are due every 10 years, with a possibility for updates as foreseen under the Governance Regulation.

Should the EPBD provisions on the Long Term Renovation Strategies be modified?

- Yes
- No

* If yes, how?

1000 character(s) maximum

The main problem is not the quality of the LTRS related provisions but the quality of the strategies submitted by Member States. In any case, policy improvement is expected to have a positive effect.

Question 3. Should the monitoring of the objectives identified by MSs in their LTRS be strengthened?

- Yes
- No

If yes,

- Through a specific monitoring tool to be developed by the Commission
- By requiring a 5-year revision of the LTRS
- By developing a common template and requesting specific data and indicators, in order to make the information provided by Member States more comparable
- By requesting more data, especially on greenhouse gas emission effects, to allow assessing the contributions to the EU climate policy targets
- By linking the LTRS to other policies (heating and cooling, renewables, products, etc.)
- Other - please specify in comment box
- No opinion

Question 4. Which measures would you add in the EPBD to further support district and city authorities to increase energy efficiency in buildings and to accelerate the rate of replacement of boilers by carbon free ones based on renewable energy?

1000 character(s) maximum

A combined strategy including requirements to assess building performance, financial incentives and a campaign informing local authorities about their duties and available instruments to fulfil them.

Resource efficiency and climate resilience in buildings renovation

The European Green Deal points to energy and resource efficiency. Following this, the new [Circular Economy Action Plan \(CEAP\)](#) adopted in March 2020 acknowledges that reaching climate neutrality by 2050 requires highly energy and resource efficient buildings equipped with renewable energy, considering life cycle performance and a more efficient use of resources for building renovation and construction. The

Renovation Wave equally sets our actions in this regard, such as the development of a 2050 whole life cycle performance roadmap to reduce carbon emissions from buildings.

Question 5. Do you think a revised EPBD should include measures to report on whole life-cycle carbon emissions from buildings (manufacturing and construction, use and end of life)?

- Yes
- No, the EPBD is not the right tool for this
- I don't know/ No opinion

Comment:

500 character(s) maximum

Environmental Product Declarations (voluntary basis) offer the required product related information. Construction Products Regulation is in the process to regulate and harmonise them at European level. As regards building assessment, Level(s) EU methodology based on EN 15978 is available to calculate life cycle emissions and is being implemented by Member States. Life cycle emissions exceeds the scope of the EPBD. The scope should be limited to energy efficiency of buildings in their operation.

Question 6. Should the EPBD require that the likely impacts of climate change are taken into account in the planning of new buildings and major renovations?

- Yes
- No, the EPBD is not the right tool for this
- No opinion

Question 7. As announced in the Renovation Wave, the Commission will develop a 2050 whole life-cycle performance roadmap¹ to reduce carbon emissions from buildings and advancing national benchmarking with Member States. How do you think the EPBD could contribute to this roadmap?

1000 character(s) maximum

EPBD should be the reference approach for the assessment of energy performance of buildings and complement other instruments. Every policy and initiative must have a clear scope and complement the others avoiding conflicts and gaps.

¹The Roadmap is one of the actions foreseen in the Renovation Wave Communication (COM(2020) 662 final) to make the construction ecosystem fit to deliver sustainable renovation.

Nearly zero-energy buildings (NZEB)

Question 8. The EPBD requires all new buildings from 2021 (public buildings from 2019) to be nearly zero-energy buildings (NZEB). According to [Article 2](#) "nearly

zero-energy building" means a building that has a very high energy performance, as determined in accordance with Annex I. The nearly zero or very low amount of energy required should be covered to a very significant extent from renewable sources, including sources produced on-site or nearby. Do you think that the current definitions for NZEBs are ambitious enough to contribute towards a fully decarbonised building stock?

- Yes, the current definition is ambitious enough
- No
- No opinion

Question 9. Numeric thresholds or ranges for NZEBs are not defined in the EPBD. While this allows Member States to set their NZEB levels taking into account their national context, it also results in widely differing definitions from country to country. Is a more harmonised definition of NZEB necessary?

- Yes
- No, it is not necessary
- I don't know/ No opinion

If yes,

- Minimum thresholds for primary energy use in the building's operation should be defined in the EPBD for different climate zones
- Minimum renewable energy sources share should be introduced in the EPBD for different climate zones
- Both minimum thresholds for primary energy use and renewable energy sources share in the building's operation should be introduced in the EPBD for different climate zones
- Life-cycle greenhouse-gas performance should also be included
- Other - please specify in comment box

* Please specify:

500 character(s) maximum

The key performance indicator for buildings is the "energy need" which is in line with the EPBD methodology.

Deeper building renovations

Question 10. Deep renovation is understood to be a renovation that should generate at least 60% energy savings, whether carried out in a single stage or in a

number of staged renovations. In your view, would it be beneficial to provide a legal definition of “deep renovation” in the EPBD?

- Yes
- No, a definition would add further complexity
- I don't know/ No opinion

If yes,

- The definition should relate to energy savings only
- The definition should relate to energy savings also expressed in terms of greenhouse gas emissions related to the use of energy
- The definition should relate to both operational and embodied greenhouse gas emissions covering emissions from the full life-cycle of buildings
- The definition should cover broader aspects that have an impact on the quality of renovations, such as health and environmental standards, accessibility for persons with disabilities, climate resilience or others - please specify in comment box
- Other - please specify in comment box

Mandatory minimum energy performance standards ('MEPS')

Mandatory renovation/minimum performance requirements are one of the most impactful measures for increasing the rate of building renovation and have already been explored and implemented in some Member States. Their aim is to firm up investors' expectations by setting a path for the improvement of the energy performance of different classes of buildings thus gradually increasing the average performance of the national building stock. Mandatory renovation/minimum performance requirements could be introduced progressively and target specific segments as a priority.

Question 11. In your opinion, should the EPBD introduce mandatory minimum energy performance standards to be applied in the EU, subject to specific conditions to be determined?

- Yes
- No
- I don't know/ No opinion

Please explain your answer:

1000 character(s) maximum

A detailed planning describing the requirements, benefits for their application and the timeframe should be developed and revised in a transparent way. Investors and owners need information and certainty about the applicable rules to take actions on their buildings.

Question 12. What type of minimum energy performance standards do you consider most appropriate?

- Building-level performance standards, focusing on the overall energy efficiency of the building (for example linked to an Energy Performance Certificates ('EPC') class or the energy codes, specific energy consumption, another carbon metric, etc.)
- Building element-level performance standards, setting specific minimum levels of building elements (for the envelope and/or the technical building systems including heating and cooling)
- Minimum quality standards, including also other aspects beyond energy performance, such as thermal comfort - please specify in comment box
- Others - please specify in comment box
- I don't know / No opinion

Please explain your answer:

1500 character(s) maximum

Minimum energy performance standards and energy performance certificates are complementary approaches and have a positive effect on occupant and owner awareness. Reinforcing both instruments will have a positive effect.

Question 13. In your view, for which category of buildings should mandatory minimum energy performance standards be applied?

at most 2 choice(s)

- All residential and non-residential buildings
- All residential buildings being sold and/or rented out
- All residential buildings
- A subset of residential buildings to be defined (please specify in comment box)
- All non-residential buildings
- All non-residential buildings being sold and/or rented out
- A subset of non-residential buildings to be defined (please specify in comment box)
- All public buildings (with a total floor area of more than 250 m²)
- Only to worst-performing buildings irrespective of their ownership and use profile
- Other (please specify in comment box)
- I don't know / No opinion

Question 14. Do you think that mandatory minimum energy performance standards should be introduced:

- Yes
- No, I don't believe that mandatory minimum standards are appropriate
- I don't know / No opinion

If yes,

- Linked to specific moments in the life cycle of a building, for example a transaction (e.g. the sale, rental or lease of a building)
- On the basis of a timetable for a staged approach to achieve specific energy performance levels
- Other - please specify in the comment box

Question 15. In your view, what is the most important element that could guarantee a successful roll-out of mandatory minimum energy performance standards?

- The availability of financial support to buildings owners
- The correct identification of the worst-performing buildings
- The presence of a stable legal framework
- The availability of adequate workforce capacity to do renovations
- The availability of emerging technologies facilitating rapid renovation works
- Other - please specify in comment box
- I don't know / No opinion

Public buildings

Question 16. In your view, which of the following regulatory measures should be envisaged to increase the rate and depth of renovation of public buildings in a sustainable manner?

- Introduction of more stringent minimum energy performance requirements for renovation of public buildings
- Introduction of minimum energy performance standards in public buildings, with an obligation to achieve progressively more ambitious levels
- Introduction of life cycle aspects in the design, construction and operation of refurbished public buildings (e.g. circular approaches like extension of service life, adaptability and flexibility, reuse and recycling of materials)

- Introduction of climate resilience aspects in the design and operation of new and refurbished public buildings
- Other - please specify in comment box
- I don't know / No opinion

Electromobility

Question 17. The provisions on electromobility in Article 8 of the EPBD targeting the installation of recharging points in car parks adjacent to buildings were recently introduced. With the strengthened climate ambition and the increased incentives towards the uptake of electric cars but also with the strong increase in (electric) bike /cargo-bike use, do you think there is a need to strengthen the requirements?

	Yes	No	I don't know/ No opinion
For new residential buildings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
For refurbished buildings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
For new non-residential buildings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
For refurbished non-residential buildings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Question 18. In your view, what kind of requirement would be needed?

	Yes	No	I don't know/ No opinion
The installation of recharging points to support smart charging, allowing to monitor, control and optimise energy usage when recharging electric vehicles	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
The inclusion of provisions for recharging points for vehicles other than cars (e.g. e-bikes)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
To give owners of an apartment in multi-dwelling buildings the right to install a recharging point for their parking spot in the shared parking garage (right to plug)	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Other measures? Please specify:

500 character(s) maximum

Question 19. Are you aware of administrative barriers preventing the deployment of charging points in buildings in your country?

- Yes

- No

Part B. Information provision and energy performance certificates

Energy performance certificates (EPCs)

Energy performance certificates (EPCs) is an instrument aimed at informing building owners, tenants and users about the cost of heating and cooling, savings that investments would bring and offer benchmarks to compare similar buildings. EPCs are also needed to link preferential financing conditions to quality renovations. Under the existing EU regulatory framework, EPCs are compulsory for buildings being built, sold or rented and the energy class of the EPC must also be shown in advertisement media. They are also compulsory for buildings over 250 m² occupied by a public authority and frequently visited by the public. EPCs can also be used to plan policy or to monitor the performance of measures when these are implemented. However, the coverage of such certificates strongly differs across Member States.

Question 20. Do you agree that the framework for Energy Performance Certificates should be updated and their quality improved?

- Yes
- No, it's not necessary
- Other - please specify in the comment box
- I don't know / No opinion

Question 21. Is harmonization of EPCs needed to accelerate the increase of building performance and how can it be achieved?

- Yes, it is needed and can be achieved by introducing a common template
- Yes, it is needed and can be achieved by other means - please specify in comment box
- Yes, it is needed but some national specification should be retained - please specify in comment box
- No, harmonisation is not needed
- I don't know / No opinion

Please explain your choice:

500 character(s) maximum

A harmonised digital approach linked to building logbooks can improve the current situation. It needs to be developed according to the BIM principles for the development of data templates. This is the only way to transform it into a useful tool. Otherwise, the required interoperability with other tools (building logbooks, consumer apps, etc.) will not be possible. In addition, the assessment must be carried out on-site by certified professionals using the relevant standards.

Question 22. How would you rate the following elements in order to improve the quality and impact of EPC requirements?

0 – No opinion

1 – Not important

2 – Of little importance

3 – Moderately important

4 – Important

5 – Very important

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Improve training for independent experts	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Develop professional qualification schemes or labels for installers of technical buildings systems	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Improve quality control mechanisms	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
Include further information on estimated costs, energy savings or cost savings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Include information on non-financial benefits such as increased comfort and climate resilience	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Tailor the recommendations towards deep renovations	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Develop an accessible EPC database with further information on the EPC, explanation of the different terms, benchmarks and comparison with similar buildings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increase the number of mandatory indicators to include: greenhouse gas emissions, generation of renewable energy, breakdown of different energy uses (e.g. heating, ventilation, lighting, etc.) or type of systems installed	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Increase the interoperability with other tools such as digital building logbooks, SRIs and renovation passports.	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>

Comment:

500 character(s) maximum

Quality and interoperability of EPC must improve.

Question 23. Which elements are the most important to ensure compliance with EPC requirements?

at most 3 choice(s)

Provision of detailed guidelines for EPC (including use of visual identity, common logo, recommended indicators)

- More stringent penalties in case of non-compliance, for instance in relation to the advertisement of sales or rent of buildings
- Extend liability to all the market actors involved in the selling/renting of properties
- Making EPCs mandatory to access any financial incentive targeting buildings renovations
- Accessible EPC database with benchmarks allowing comparison with similar buildings
- Introduce information flow and cross-checks between EPC databases and other databases containing information on buildings or products (e.g. national building registry or cadastre, energy labelling database for products, digital building logbooks, other national statistics, etc.)
- Other measures - please specify in comment box

Smartness of buildings and wider modernisation

Question 24. The objective of the Building Renovation Passport (BRP) is to provide a long-term, step-by-step renovation roadmap for a specific building based on quality criteria, following an energy audit, and outlining relevant measures and renovations that could improve the energy performance and the quality of the building. The BRP schemes and initiatives in the EU are diverse and most of them have not reached their full potential, while some are still at the research phase. Which measures do you think could best support the uptake of a building renovation passport?

at most 3 choice(s)

- Guidelines and best practice exchange on how the BRP can support the objectives of the Long Term Renovation Strategy
- National/regional communication campaigns to increase awareness of the BRPs
- Training of energy experts
- Making funds, such as the European Energy Efficiency Fund or ELENA, available to the Member States for BRP development and implementation
- Guidelines on how to support and enable banks to offer a favourable interest rate on loans/mortgages which are linked to a BRP
-

Legal requirement to be introduced in the EPBD review for the Commission to develop a common template for BRPs

- Legal requirement to be introduced in the EPBD review for the Commission to develop a voluntary BRP scheme
- Legal requirement to be introduced in the EPBD review stating that BRP becomes mandatory for certain building types (replicating the EPC regulations, buildings for sale, etc.) after 2030.
- No measure is necessary
- Other - please specify in comment box
- I don't know / No opinion

* Other? Please specify:

500 character(s) maximum

All options suggested are positive to improve the current situation, in addition the development of a harmonised approach to BRP interoperable with other instruments may speed up implementation in parallel to the establishment of legal requirements.

Question 25. The Commission has created a uniform scheme for Smart Readiness Indicators in the EU. The scheme is currently voluntary, and has the potential to promote the digitalisation of buildings and the role that buildings can play in smart sector integration.

What would you consider to be the best ways in which the Smart Readiness Indicator could support the role of buildings in smart sector integration?

- Continue with the current framework and focus on its implementation on a voluntary basis
- Introduce SRI as mandatory requirement for non-residential buildings
- Introduce SRI as mandatory requirement for all new buildings
- Introduce SRI as mandatory requirement for all buildings
- Support the development of links between the SRI and other schemes (e.g. EPCs, building renovation passports, building logbooks, etc.)
- Other - please specify in comment box
- I don't know / No opinion

Question 26. Do you think that the EPBD can contribute in making a wider range of building-related data on the energy performance of a building and its related construction and renovation works, across its life cycle, available and accessible?

(note: building related data can come from a variety of sources: SRI, logbook and EPCs, Level(s), grant schemes, building permits, digital models)

- Yes
- No
- No opinion

Please explain your answer:

1000 character(s) maximum

Digital harmonisation using standardised data templates has the potential to improve the current situation.

Part 3. Enabling more accessible and affordable financing for building renovation

Question 27. The Renovation Wave Communication identify the need of sensible additional investments in building renovation in order to double the yearly renovation rate across Europe, decarbonise the building stock and achieve 2030 energy efficiency targets. Public financing alone will not be enough to achieve these objectives; it will be seminal to enable more accessible and affordable private financing options for building renovation. How would you rate the following possible forms of support to renovations?

- 0 – No opinion
- 1 – Not important
- 2 – Of little importance
- 3 – Moderately important
- 4 – Important
- 5 – Very important

	0	1	2	3	4	5
Public guarantee for commercial banks to offer low-interest loans for renovation of worst performing buildings	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>				
Direct grants support to low-income citizens living on worst performing buildings	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
ESCOs financing of low-interest loans payback through on-bill recovery	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>				
Tax incentives during a period of time to provide additional economic support	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>				

One stop shops for all types of renovation advice	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Support the development of energy efficiency mortgages and other innovative financing options that will enable private financing institutions to offer low-interest loans based on the improvements of energy performance of buildings or on building renovation passports	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
Technical assistance facilities supporting the development of building renovation project for the building stock of local and regional authorities	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Other kind of support? Please specify:

500 character(s) maximum

Question 28. Deep renovations do not always result in a rapid return on investment. In your opinion, how public financial incentives can be used to stimulate deeper renovations across the EU?

1000 character(s) maximum

Co-financing showed to have a multiplier effect but the return of investment is linked to the deepness of the renovation. This criteria needs to be the guiding principle. When deep renovation is envisaged, a life cycle analysis should be carried out to assess the best option with a medium to long term perspective: renovation or rebuilding. Provided that this analysis is performed, both scenarios should receive the same financial and fiscal benefits.

Question 29. Do you think that funding support to renovations should be linked to the depth of renovation?

- Yes
- No, it is not necessary
- I don't know / No opinion

If no,

- It is not necessary, deeper renovation will result in greater savings on the energy bills, the market will regulate itself and adjust in the most cost-efficient way
- Other - please spell out in the comment box

* Please specify:

500 character(s) maximum

Please note that renovation and refurbishment are handy solutions in cases, where buildings are relatively new, the building does not have major structural damages, does not contain any dangerous substances and

will have the same functional use. Rebuilding can be the most cost-effective and energy saving solution in a long run. Despite of sometimes having high initial cost, investments will pay off in a long term by low energy use of the new building.

Question 30. In your view, which of the following measures would help to further support the renovation of public buildings?

- Technical assistance for public authorities (national, regional, local) to design and implement comprehensive renovation programmes (ELENA model), including linkages other related climate-resilience policies in urban and rural areas
- Enhanced deployment and capacity building for energy performance contracting in the public sector (including accounting rules)
- Financial incentives to support companies providing energy performance contracting
- Public-private partnerships to inform and assist efforts of public authorities for building renovation and ease access to financing
- Framework contracts at national, regional or local level with the specific objective of renovating public buildings
- Other measures - please specify in comment box
- I don't know/ No opinion

Question 31. As part of their Long-Term Renovation Strategies (LTRS), Member States must outline relevant national measures to reduce energy poverty. The Renovation Wave Communication indicates a number of measures to tackle energy poverty and renovate worst-performing buildings, including social housing. It also states that vulnerable households must be shielded from rent increases that may follow renovations. What do you think are the most important policy areas addressing energy poverty to be further reinforced?

at most 3 choice(s)

- Targeted financial support for lower and middle income households
- Minimum energy performance standards coupled with financing that limits the monthly net expenditure of the inhabitants
- Other additional legislative measures (please specify in the comment box)
- The Affordable Housing Initiative
- The Energy Poverty Observatory
- Other measures (please specify in the comment box)
- I don't know / No opinion

Other measures? Please specify:

500 character(s) maximum

EPC and BRP subsidies in combination with efficiency measures recommendations and financial support.

Further comments

Question 32. Do you have any further comments on policy aspects relevant for the decarbonisation of building which are not covered above?

1000 character(s) maximum

- Improve the recognition of the benefits of thermal capacity by taking the “effective thermal mass” into account in the Directive
- When deep renovation is mentioned, also make reference to the possibility of rebuilding
- The EPBD should be the central tool to regulate the energy performance of buildings
- Take advantage of the building fabric to support increased uptake of renewable energy
- Prioritise not only reducing primary energy but also reducing absolute energy use
- Ensure that energy efficiency does not come at the expense of indoor comfort
- Energy performance calculation methodologies must take dynamic effects, like thermal mass, into account
- The EPBD should acknowledge activation of thermal energy as complementary to passive thermal inertia / buildings as actors in the energy system
- The review of the EPBD needs to carefully consider the interaction with other sustainable construction policies, and material neutrality is key to to efficiently decarbonise EU buildings

Contact

ENER-BUILDINGS@ec.europa.eu