Contribution ID: 50c2455e-e53b-4b0e-81bf-cbbc58fd3f11
Date: 14/09/2023 17:22:55

Public consultation for a roadmap for the reduction of whole life carbon emissions of buildings in the EU

Fields marked with * are mandatory.

Introduction

Background

In the European Climate Law, the EU has set the target to reduce its net greenhouse gas emissions by at least 55% by 2030 compared to 1990 levels, and to become climate-neutral by 2050. The buildings and construction sector is a major consumer of both materials and energy, making it an important contributor to overall greenhouse gas emissions. While the operation of buildings is responsible for about 40% of the EU' s total energy consumption, and for 36% of its greenhouse gas emissions from energy[1], buildings also contribute to greenhouse gas emissions at other stages of their life cycle, before they are occupied (manufacture and construction) and afterwards, at end of life. The International Resource Panel (IRP), in its Resource Efficiency and Climate Change Report, 2020, and the UN Environment Emissions Gap Report 2019, conclude that the carbon emissions related to the use of materials in construction is estimated to account for about 10% of total yearly greenhouse gas emissions worldwide. The Renovation Wave called for the EU to make our buildings more energy-efficient and less carbon-intensive over their full life-cycle and more sustainable.

The so-called 'whole life carbon' approach to buildings combines the greenhouse gas emissions from the material production and transport, caused by the construction process phase and processes at end of life (also called "embodied carbon"), and the greenhouse gas emissions linked to the operation of the building during its lifetime (also called "operational carbon")[2]. This approach could support Europe's path to climate neutrality in the buildings and construction sector by promoting whole life carbon reduction solutions in the sector, complementary to the existing policies that decarbonise material production, electricity generation, and operation emissions of buildings.

As part of the Renovation Wave, the Commission committed to develop a roadmap leading up to 2050 for reducing whole life-cycle carbon emissions in buildings." The present consultation is designed to inform the Commission's work on this roadmap.

Public consultation

This open public consultation offers all stakeholders in the buildings value chain the opportunity to express their views on how they perceive the relevance of the matter and how to best address the whole life cycle

emissions associated with buildings. Your feedback, together with evidence from different sources including desk-research and other consultations, will contribute to the preparatory analysis and the development of the roadmap. The Commission has recently procured a study, which sheds new light on the building stock and its whole life carbon emissions. You can find a link to the final report of this study, next to the questionnaire.

Individual contributions to this public consultation will not be published. Instead, the contributions will serve as input for analysis by Ramboll Management Consulting SA/NV and an aggregated report will be delivered to the European Commission.

The Commission and Ramboll Management Consulting SA/NV are committed to protecting your personal data and to respecting your privacy. By filling out the questionnaire you agree to the collection, processing and use of your data in line with existing EU regulations, i.e. Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 on processing of personal data by the EU institutions. See the privacy statement, available under background documents for more information.

If you have any questions on the consultation, please contact WholeLifeCarbonRoadmap@ramboll.com

Your opinion matters and we are grateful to you for taking the time to complete this questionnaire.

[1] These figures refer to the use and operation of buildings, including indirect emissions in the power and heat sector, not their full life cycle. The embodied carbon in construction is estimated to account for about 10% of total yearly greenhouse gas emissions worldwide, see IRP, Resource Efficiency and Climate Change, 2020, and UN Environment Emissions Gap Report 2019.

[2] The applied system boundary is 'cradle to grave' as defined by EN 15978, i.e. from the production of building materials to the end of the building's useful life and the subsequent demolition and recovery of the building materials. It is defined in terms of life cycle stages, which are in turn split into modules as defined by EN 15978: the product stage (A1-5), the use stage (B1-6), the end of life stage (C1-4) and benefits and loads beyond the system boundary (D). Emissions are accounted for in the life cycle stage where they occur so, if for example a renovation takes place, the emissions associated with new building materials are allocated to the use stage

About you

This section ask for personal data about you as respondent to the questionnaire. This data will be used to enable the analysis of results in an aggregated way and to be able to reach out with clarification requests if necessary. Your personal data will not be published.

* **I am giving my contribution as:**

- ○ Academic/research institution
- ◉ Business association
- ○ Company/business organisation
- ○ Consumer organisation
- ○ EU citizen
- ○ Environmental organisation
- ○ Non-EU citizen
- ○ Non-governmental organisation (NGO)
- ○ Public authority

- ○ Trade union
- ○ Other

* **First name**

* **Surname**

* **Email**

* **Organisation name**

Concrete Europe

* **Organisation size**

- ◉ Micro (1 to 9 employees)
- ○ Small (10 to 49 employees)
- ○ Medium (50 to 249 employees)
- ○ Large (250 or more)
- ○ Do not know/not relevant

* **Please indicate the sector actor group that best describes your activity**

- ○ Architects, planners, and engineering
- ○ Construction, renovation, and demolition contractors
- ○ Logistics and transport services
- ◉ Material manufacturers and suppliers
- ○ Operational and maintenance service providers
- ○ Property developers, owners and managers
- ○ Property investors and financial institutions
- ○ Sub-contractors
- ○ Other

If other, please specify

* **Country of origin**

Belgium

* **Privacy statement**

☑ I agree with the personal data protection provisions in line with Regulation (EU) 2018/1725 described in the attached statement.

Your current engagement in this topic

* **Q1: How would you assess your own understanding of whole life carbon of buildings?**

- (●) Good understanding
- ( ) Some understanding
- ( ) Low or no understanding

* **Q2: How often do you or the teams you are working with take into account whole life carbon considerations?**

- (●) It is often taken into account ahead of decisions
- ( ) It can occasionally impact decisions
- ( ) It is rarely considered
- ( ) I don't know / Not applicable

EU policies addressing whole life carbon emissions of buildings

* **Q3: Do you feel that current EU policies [3] relevant to whole life carbon of the building sector are sufficient to ensure that the building stock is aligned with a climate neutral trajectory?**

[3] The EU Emissions Trading System (EU ETS), setting a carbon price and emissions cap on emissions, including from manufacturing installations for steel, aluminium, glass, mineral wool, cement, lime, ceramics; the Effort Sharing Regulation; the EU Emissions Trading System for fuel combustion in buildings and road transport; the Carbon Border Adjustment Mechanism; the Energy Performance of Buildings Directive; Ecodesign Directive; Energy labelling Regulation; Renewable Energy Directive; Construction Products Regulation; Energy Efficiency Directive; and Waste Framework Directive.

- ( ) Yes, there is a sufficient EU policy framework in place
- (●) There is a suitable EU framework in place, but it needs strengthening
- ( ) The current EU policies are not enough, additional policy is needed to complement the existing framework
- ( ) No opinion

Q3a: Please explain your answer [up to 200 words].

2000 character(s) maximum

In general, there is a need for coordination amongst the different (existing) EU policies to ensure a holistic approach where the carbon emissions are assessed throughout the whole life cycle (manufacturing, use and end-of-life).
From the construction products side, the EU ETS ensures that the sectors covered reduce their CO2 emissions to zero (with a linear reduction factor). The revised CPR together with EN 15804 will ensure that sustainability indicators of construction products are available to the construction supply chain (including GWP). From the construction supply chain, the revised EPBD will ensure that new buildings and renovation are on their way to net-zero and that WLC is calculated. The WFD should be revised to implement a landfill ban to create a market for secondary raw materials.
European policies should promote a Life Cycle Assessment approach regarding the whole service life and end-of-life of buildings and based on harmonised methodologies – EN 15978 and EN 15804.

* **Q3b: What levels of governance do you think are the most appropriate to tackle whole life carbon emissions? Multiple answers possible.**

- [x] European
- [x] National or regional
- [ ] Local

Possible areas for actions to reduce whole life carbon in buildings

Q4: Please assess the following areas in terms of both their potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions and the feasibility to act (via policy or sector initiatives or other) to achieve substantial reduction of emissions.

Demand for new built space

Q4a: Making use of currently empty buildings

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	○	◉	○	○	○
* Feasibility to act	○	◉	○	○	○

Q4b: Extending the lifespan of buildings through e.g. flexible, future-proof design and layout, use of durable materials, climate change resilience, adaptive building systems regular maintenance

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	◉	○	○	○	○
* Feasibility to act	◉	○	○	○	○

Q4c: Using buildings more intensively (e.g. by encouraging different activities taking place in a building at different times of day or week)

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	○	○	○	○	◉
* Feasibility to act	○	○	◉	○	○

Q4d: Ensuring that residential buildings do not remain under-occupied over the long term by facilitating change of residence through various means (e.g. reduced transaction costs, practical support, urban planning, accessibility of affordable housing, review of rental and ownership models)

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	○	○	○	○	◉
* Feasibility to act	○	○	◉	○	○

Q4e: Prioritising of renovation, repair and maintenance over demolition and new construction

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	○	○	○	◉	○
* Feasibility to act	○	○	○	◉	○

Demand for materials

Q4f: Construct with less material overall while achieving the same functional result (i.e. resource efficiency)

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	○	◉	○	○	○
* Feasibility to act	◉	○	○	○	○

Q4g: Design and use elements that can be easily dismantled for re-use at the end of their service life

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	○	◉	○	○	○
* Feasibility to act	○	◉	○	○	○

Q4h: Apply waste prevention strategies, such as waste audits and selective demolition, to divert material from landfill and encourage reuse and recycling

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	○	◉	○	○	○
* Feasibility to act	◉	○	○	○	○

Q4i: Increase the share of re-used construction products on the market

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	○	○	◉	○	○

* Feasibility to act	○	○	◉	○	○

Supply of materials

Q4j: Reduce the carbon footprint of materials and construction products in their manufacturing processes, e.g. through the use of renewable energy

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	◉	○	○	○	○
* Feasibility to act	◉	○	○	○	○

Q4k: Increase the recycled content of new construction products

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	○	◉	○	○	○
* Feasibility to act	○	○	◉	○	○

Q4l: Encourage the use of carbon storage in construction products, contributing to carbon removals

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	◉	○	○	○	○
* Feasibility to act	◉	○	○	○	○

Use of energy in buildings

Q4m: Reduce the greenhouse gas intensity of energy supply

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	◉	○	○	○	○
* Feasibility to act	◉	○	○	○	○

Q4n: Improve the management of energy use in existing buildings

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	○	◉	○	○	○
* Feasibility to act	○	◉	○	○	○

Q4o: Promote energy efficient renovation to reduce the energy use of existing buildings

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	○	◉	○	○	○
* Feasibility to act	○	◉	○	○	○

Q4p: Ensure that any new buildings are designed to be high energy performing

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	◉	○	○	○	○
* Feasibility to act	◉	○	○	○	○

Other sources of emissions relating to whole life carbon

Q4q: Reduce emissions from the construction site, e.g. from machinery

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	○	○	○	○	◉
* Feasibility to act	○	○	○	○	◉

Q4r: Minimise transport related emissions of material and waste

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential for reducing whole life carbon emissions	○	◉	○	○	○
* Feasibility to act	○	◉	○	○	○

Q5: If you have examples of other areas for action to reduce the whole life carbon emissions of buildings, please share them here [up to 200 words]:

For Q4l, Concrete Europe believes that only permanent carbon storage should be considered since there is no scientific consensus on temporary carbon storage.
For Q4n, Concrete Europe believes that thermal mass activation can contribute positively to the energy use in buildings and should be considered. Using concrete with Thermally Activated Building Structures - or TABS might increase the embodied carbon of the building at the construction stage but lead to reduced energy consumption for heating and cooling and help avoid picks consumption in the grid.
For 4Qe, Concrete Europe believes that in specific situations a life cycle analysis must be performed to determine if demolition and reconstruction is a better alternative than renovation.

Supportive policies for reducing whole life carbon

Q6: Please assess the following factors in terms of both their potential effectiveness for driving reduction of whole life carbon emissions and the feasibility for policy to be enacted.

Market push

Q6a: Mandatory reporting of whole life carbon

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential effectiveness for driving reduction of whole life carbon emissions	○	◉	○	○	○
* Feasibility for policy to be enacted	○	◉	○	○	○

Q6b: Requirements to set national whole life carbon roadmaps with quantified targets

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential effectiveness for driving reduction of whole life carbon emissions	○	◉	○	○	○
* Feasibility for policy to be enacted	○	○	◉	○	○

Q6c: Include consideration of whole life carbon in national construction and new housing plans and targets

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential effectiveness for driving reduction of whole life carbon emissions	○	◉	○	○	○
* Feasibility for policy to be enacted	○	◉	○	○	○

Q6d: Include consideration of whole life carbon in national plans for renovation

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential effectiveness for driving reduction of whole life carbon emissions	○	◉	○	○	○

* Feasibility for policy to be enacted	○	◉	○	○	○

Q6e: Mandatory carbon footprint declaration of construction products

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential effectiveness for driving reduction of whole life carbon emissions	○	◉	○	○	○
* Feasibility for policy to be enacted	○	◉	○	○	○

Market pull

Q6f: Public sector leading by example

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential effectiveness for driving reduction of whole life carbon emissions	◉	○	○	○	○
* Feasibility for policy to be enacted	◉	○	○	○	○

Q6g: Link public funding to whole life carbon performance

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential effectiveness for driving reduction of whole life carbon emissions	○	○	◉	○	○
* Feasibility for policy to be enacted	○	○	◉	○	○

Q6h: Use of sustainability scores such as the EU Taxonomy for Sustainable Actvities to identify sustainable whole life carbon

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential effectiveness for driving reduction of whole life carbon emissions	○	◉	○	○	○
* Feasibility for policy to be enacted	○	◉	○	○	○

Knowledge

Q6i: Support capacity building of public authorities and their mandated bodies to assess whole life carbon

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential effectiveness for driving reduction of whole life carbon emissions	◉	○	○	○	○
* Feasibility for policy to be enacted	◉	○	○	○	○

Q6j: Targeted support to facilitate upskilling and/or reskilling of different parts of the supply side (engineers, architects, construction workers etc)

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential effectiveness for driving reduction of whole life carbon emissions	◉	○	○	○	○
* Feasibility for policy to be enacted	◉	○	○	○	○

Q6k: Capacity building, education and training for stakeholders not directly involved on-site (e.g. administration, managers, financial sector)

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential effectiveness for driving reduction of whole life carbon emissions	○	○	○	○	◉
* Feasibility for policy to be enacted	○	○	○	○	◉

Q6l: General awareness raising and media campaigns

	Very high	High	Low	None	No opinion
* Potential effectiveness for driving reduction of whole life carbon emissions	○	◉	○	○	○
* Feasibility for policy to be enacted	○	◉	○	○	○

Q7: If you have examples of policies to reduce the whole life carbon emissions of buildings at national, regional or local level whole life carbon, please share them here [up to 200 words]:

2000 character(s) maximum

Policies should be focused on the assessment at building level, not product level.
For Q6h, reference should be made to the LEVEL(s) framework developed by the European Commission as part of a stakeholder process rather than the EU Taxonomy.

Whole life carbon values for individual buildings

* **Q8: Do you think that whole life cycle emissions of individual buildings should be measured in the same way across the EU?**
  - ○ Yes
  - ◉ No, regional or national variations should be allowed
  - ○ No opinion

* **Q9: Do you think it is necessary to define maximum values for whole life carbon for some or all categories of individual buildings?**
  - ○ Yes, mandatory
  - ◉ Yes, but start with voluntary and later on make them mandatory
  - ○ Yes, but keep them voluntary
  - ○ No
  - ○ No opinion

Q9a: Please explain your answer [up to 200 words]:

2000 character(s) maximum

There must be a period for adaptation, reporting, adjustment to build up the basis for such an important measure.

* **Q9b: At what level of governance should these maximum values be set?**
  - ○ At EU level
  - ◉ At national level with guidance from suggested indicative EU values
  - ○ At national level, with no particular role to play for the EU
  - ○ Other
  - ○ No opinion

* **Q10: If maximum whole life carbon values were to be applied, what type(s) of values do you consider most appropriate?**
  - ◉ Building-level maximum values combining operational and embodied emissions in a single indicator of whole-life carbon
  - ○ Building-level maximum values with separate indicators for embodied and operational emissions
  - ○ Building-level maximum values with separate indicators for embodied and operational emissions and a combined whole-life carbon indicator
  - ○ Others, including whole life carbon maximum values for groups of buildings or at the entire building stock level, as opposed to on individual buildings – please spell out in the comment box
  - ○ No opinion

Q11: If maximum whole life carbon values were to be applied, for which categories of buildings should they apply?

* **Q11a: New residential buildings**

- ○ All new residential buildings
- ◉ A subset of new residential buildings to be defined – please explain your answer
- ○ No maximum thresholds should be applied
- ○ No opinion

Please briefly explain your answer [up to 200 words]

2000 character(s) maximum

It is likely an overload for one-/two-family projects to have full building LCAs performed, so above e.g., 1000 m2.

* **Q11b: New non-residential buildings**

- ○ All new non-residential buildings
- ◉ A subset of new non-residential buildings to be defined – please explain your answer
- ○ No maximum thresholds should be applied
- ○ No opinion

Please briefly explain your answer

2000 character(s) maximum

There would be substantial bureaucratic burden, so above e.g., 1000 m2.

* **Q11c: Renovations of residential buildings**

- ○ All major renovations of residential buildings
- ◉ A subset of major renovations of residential buildings – please explain your answer
- ○ No maximum thresholds should be applied
- ○ No opinion

Please briefly explain your answer [up to 200 words]

2000 character(s) maximum

It is likely an overload for one-/two-family projects to have full building LCAs performed, so above e.g., 1000 m2.

* **Q11d: Renovations of non-residential buildings**

- ○ All major renovations of non-residential buildings
- ◉ A subset of major renovations of non-residential buildings – please explain your answer
- ○ No maximum thresholds should be applied
- ○ No opinion

Please briefly explain your answer

2000 character(s) maximum

There would be substantial bureaucratic burden, so above e.g., 1000 m2.

Q11e: Do you have other comments on the categories of buildings for which maximum values should apply? [up to 200 words]

2000 character(s) maximum

Q12: Are existing European standards and methodologies sufficiently mature to define whole life carbon reporting formats and maximum values?

- ○ Yes, they are ready to be used for this purpose
- ◉ Yes, with some harmonisation work, this will be ready to apply
- ○ No, much more work is needed to develop a new methodology for this purpose
- ○ No opinion

Q12a: Please explain what further work is needed [up to 200 words]

2000 character(s) maximum

Life Cycle Assessment with existing standards EN 15804 (+ complementary Product Category Rules) is already a good framework as it includes the GWP indicator and the assessment of carbon fluxes.

Concluding question

Q13: Do you have any further comments on policy aspects relevant to whole life carbon of buildings, which are not covered in your answers? [up to 200 words]

2000 character(s) maximum

- Establish a policy framework that sets targets enabling all construction solutions to contribute towards a decarbonised built environment.
- Use the correct and appropriate methodologies for assessing the whole life carbon of construction works.
- Recognise and reward permanent carbon storage.
- Decarbonisation as a component of sustainability and environmental goals in the context of deep renovations; evaluate and support rebuilding options when appropriate.

Q14: Do you have any other remarks? [up to 200 words]

2000 character(s) maximum

https://www.concrete-europe.eu/newsroom/publications/267-decarbonisation-and-whole-life-carbon-policies-proposals-from-the-concrete-and-cement-sector

Useful links

Final technical study report (https://c.ramboll.com/whole-life-carbon-reduction)

Background Documents

Privacy Statement

Contact

WholeLifeCarbonRoadmap@ramboll.com